## MEMORAN DUM

TO: Juan Guzman, Records Administrator

FROM: Lieutenant Chuck DeShazer

DATE: September 27, 2010

SUBJECT: Response to Open Records Request

This memo was created to respond to items "a" and "b" of the follow-up request from James Frye. This specifically addresses those items in the request dated September 4, 2010.

- a. Within the original CORA request of July 28, 2010, Mr. Frye requested the "number of official reported accidents at each red light camera intersection." The copies of the print outs I received contained information past the request. For example, I recall seeing a DUI and an assault. These entries were redacted as they were not part of the information requested.
- b. Based on the information provided in response to the original request, the number of accidents at the four locations is as follows:

Location	One year prior install	One year post install
Alameda/Abilene	37	39
lliff/Blackhawk	24	28
Mississippi/Potomac	26	38
Mississippi/Chambers	82	63

City Attorney's Office 15151 E. Alameda Parkway, Suite 5300 Aurora, Colorado 80012 Phone: 303-739-7030

Fax: 303-739-7042 www.auroragov.org TUROR!

October 7, 2010

Dear Mr. Frye,

I am writing in response to your request for follow up information from Open Records Request #991. In addition to the documentation that was provided pursuant to the original request, it is my understanding that further information has been provided relative to your newest request, to the extent that any other specific documentation exists. However, much of what you are asking is now beyond the purview of document inspection, which is what the open records laws require. Your latest request is specifically asking for "confirmation and clarification of data provided in the original CORA, #991." It appears that what you are asking for is to have someone (presumably staff members) answer specific inquiries that you have after reviewing the documents you received through the open records process. You have asked for answers to specific questions, including what internal discussions have taken place, whether there are any current plans to take future actions, and you are requesting descriptions, explanations, and interpretations of documents you have received or information you have concluded based upon documents you've received. The clerk's office, the official custodian of the records, has verified with the appropriate departments that there are no further documents responsive to your requests. As you are aware, the Colorado Public Records Act does not contain an implied duty to research and manipulate data for release. Colorado Judicial Department v. Background Information Department. 994 P. 2d 420 (Colo. 1999). Although you have been informed of this information, I understand that you have asked to have the same documented in writing. I believe you are well acquainted with the City's open records request process, but if you have any questions, feel free to contact Juan Guzman, the records administrator to discuss them further.

Sincerely,

Milie A. Heekman

Assistant City Attorney